

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

RUTH JOHNSON

Plaintiff,

v.

**BOARD OF EDUCATION
OF PRINCE GEORGE’S COUNTY**

Defendant.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Civil No. **11-1195 PJM**

ORDER

Upon consideration of Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, or in the Alternative for Summary Judgment (Paper No. 83), it is, for the reasons stated on the record, this 3rd day of July, 2013,

ORDERED

1. Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss (Paper No. 83) is **DENIED**;
2. Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment (Paper No. 83) is **GRANTED** as to Count 1 (the Rehabilitation Act claim), but **DENIED** as to Count 2 (the Title VI retaliatory racial hostile work environment claim); and
3. Counsel is **DIRECTED** to jointly contact Chambers within the next 60 days to schedule a pretrial conference.

/s/
PETER J. MESSITTE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE